

Deliverable: 30

Due date:01/01/2016

#### Grant Agreement No: 645479

# **E-JADE**

Europe-Japan Accelerator Development Exchange Programme Horizon 2020 / Marie Skłodowska-Curie Research and Innovation Staff Exchange (RISE)

# **DELIVERABLE REPORT**

# KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER EVALUATION TOOL

<b>DELIVERABLE: 3</b>	0
-----------------------	---

Document identifier:	E-JADE.Del.30.KTTTool.v1
Due date of deliverable:	End of Month 12 (Jan 2015)
Report release date:	13/01/2016
Work package:	WP5: Training and Knowledge Transfer
Lead beneficiary:	DESY
Document status:	Final / published

#### **Delivery Slip**

	Name	Partner	Date
Authored by	T. Schörner-Sadenius	DESY	11/12/15
Reviewed by	M. Stanitzki (WP3 leader) P. Burrows (UOXF institute representative)	DESY UOXF	14/12/15
Approved by	General Assembly		08/01/16



#### KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER EVALUATION TOOL

Deliverable: 30

Due date:01/01/2016

#### Deliverable:

Knowledge Transfer Evaluation Tool

#### **Executive summary:**

An INDICO-based feedback system for secondments has been set up to monitor and evaluate the knowledge transfer to secondees, and from secondees to home and receiving institutions. The tool will facilitate the collection and evaluation of the relevant data and serve as basis for the KTTSummary deliverable ("Knowledge Transfer Summary Report") at the end of the E-JADE project.



#### KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER EVALUATION TOOL

Deliverable: 30

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Training and knowledge transfer are key constituting elements of the E-JADE project. In order to monitor the success of the project in this respect, deliverable 30 "Knowledge Transfer Evaluation Tool" (KTTTool) requires the set-up of a reporting and evaluation mechanism for the training and knowledge-transfer success of secondments.

## 2. THE FEEDBACK INDICO PAGE

An INDICO-based feedback system for secondments has been set up to monitor and evaluate the knowledge transfer to secondees, and from secondees to home and receiving institutions. The tool will facilitate the collection and evaluation of the relevant data and serve as basis for the KTTSummary deliverable ("Knowledge Transfer Summary Report") at the end of the E-JADE project.

The feedback questionnaire (see <u>https://indico.desy.de/conferenceDisplay.py?confId=12602</u>) contains questions on the following items:

- Basic information
- Overall scientific success of the secondment
- Scientific output of the secondment
- Personal and "soft skills" success of the secondment

Figure 1 below shows a screenshot from the first part of the questionnaire.

### 3. KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER EVALUATION

At regular intervals (currently envisaged: months 18, 30, 42) the input to the evaluation tool will be evaluated in order to check the appropriateness of the secondments and to determine whether there are further possibilities to increase their impact.

At the end of the E-JADE project, a summary report on the success of the knowledge transfer will be written as deliverable 31 (KTTSummary).



## KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER EVALUATION TOOL

Deliverable: 30

Due date:01/01/2016

Personal data
* First Name
* Surname
* Email
Basic information (personal, home institute, trip)
In this section, you are asked for some personal information and some details of your trip. This information is parallel to the one used in the official EU reporting.
* Sex
_ female
O male
* 0 Year of birth
* Nationality Select a country
* Home institute Choose a value 💿
* Receiving institution 🛛 Choose a value 🛛 💿
* Start of trip DD/MM/YYYY hb:mm
* End of trip 📄 DD/MM/YYYY hh:mm
* Guest status Choose a value 💿
* Work package   Choose a value 💿
* Main purpose of trip
nam parpae of any
Additional information (references etc.)
Overall scientific success of secondment
In this section, we ask you to evaluate the scientific (or administrative / managerial / technical) success of your secondment.
* General scientific success of the secondment Choose a value 📴
How do you rate the overall scientific success of your secondment on a scale from 1 (very poor) to 5 (very good)
* Secondment objectives Choose a value S How well were the objectives of your secondment met (1=not at all, 5=fully)?
* Personal scientific gain Choose a value 💿
How much did your personal work profit from the secondment (1=not at all, 5=very much)?
* Home institute's gain Choose a value 😒
How much did your home institute profit from the secondment (1=not at all, 5 very much)?
* Receiving institute's gain Choose a value 💿

Figure 1: Screenshot of the INDICO-based feedback system for secondments on training and knowledge-transfer issues.